FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

OCT 27 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

RONAQ SINGH,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 06-73825

Agency No. A097-545-833

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted October 13, 2009**

Before: B. FLETCHER, LEAVY, and RYMER, Circuit Judges.

Ronaq Singh, a native and citizen of India, petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying his application for asylum, withholding of

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

removal, and protection under the Convention Against Torture ("CAT"). We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence factual findings, *Gonzalez-Hernandez v. Ashcroft*, 336 F.3d 995, 998 (9th Cir. 2003), and we deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence, including Singh's own testimony, supports the BIA's determination that, even if Singh's testimony was credible and he established past persecution, the government established by a preponderance of the evidence that it is reasonable for Singh to relocate. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1208.13(b)(1)(i)(B); *Gonzalez-Hernandez*, 336 F.3d at 998-99. Accordingly, Singh's asylum claim fails.

Because Singh failed to establish eligibility for asylum, he necessarily failed to meet the more stringent standard for withholding of removal. *See Gonzalez-Hernandez*, 336 F.3d at 1001 n.5.

Substantial evidence also supports the agency's denial of CAT protection because Singh failed to demonstrate it is more likely than not he will be tortured if returned to India. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1208.16(c)(3)(ii); *see also Singh v. Gonzales*, 439 F.3d 1100, 1113 (9th Cir. 2006).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.

NED/Research 2 06-73825